## United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America v.  ROSARIO ZAMORA ROJO  Defendant	) Case No. 2:21-MJ-00153-DB )
ORDER OF DETEN	NTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Elig	ibility for Detention
Upon the	
	on motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), on is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact
Part II - Findings of Fact and L	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination of co and the community because the following condition  (1) the defendant is charged with one of the  (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 1  § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum  (b) an offense for which the maximum te  (c) an offense for which a maximum te  Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 70:  (d) any felony if such person has been  (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):  8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.  In term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or  sentence is life imprisonment or death; or  serm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the  §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act  5 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or  convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs  to or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses  a (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal  tion of such offenses; or
* * * * * *	rime of violence but involves:  f a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);  v) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convident \$ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense the to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) about 100 miles and 100 miles and 100 miles are convident to the defendant has previously been convident to the second of the convident to the convicence of the convident to the convident to the convident to the convicence of th	cted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. nat would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise pove for which the defendant has been convicted was
	se pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

XB. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
$\overline{X}$ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
XC. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
X The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse

## Case 2:21-cr-00007-DAD Document 8 Filed 01/05/22 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: January 5, 2022

DEBORAH BARNES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE